Tuesday, 12/10/08
RA Call w/ RPs –

Participants: Dante (EPA), John Dudley, Jude Francis, Erich Weaver (URS), Randy Kellerman (Hill), George Landreth (Shell), Larry Bone, Rob Rouse (Dow), Ted Peng, Safouh Sayed (DTSC)

- John, Magellan St sampling, trying a Monday morning after rain event. Dante OKed workplan, getting QAMs signature.
- EAPC 2, excavation, do samples to define extent. Assume excavating to background. What if we sample and don’t find anything? Amend ROD. Can ROD be like contingency? George – make ROD more accurate if do some extent sampling before ROD. Don’t have to amend ROD later if don’t find.
  - George – currently internal review of phase 1, for subslab sampling. Part 2 to address refinement of NAPL, PAH area.
  - Dante – subslab WP timing looks ok, but skeptical on timing of phase 2. Welcome to try but EPA not requiring it.
- EAPC 29 – arsenic above background. DTSC statewide number of 12. Montrose project has surface soil data for area too. Hypothesis of aerial dispersion emanating from Montrose property. What they might want for that area. Me check w/Russel on their off-property RI/FS etc. Erich to send me previous Montrose data for the area. Coordinate w/Montrose team re: alternatives for joint area.
- EAPC 34 – same area as above (EAPC 29), same composite sample as EAPC 29 that exceeded site-specific background. Q: extent of real contamination? Jude estimate for cap & excavation only was 50’ squares around composite locations.
- EAPC 28 – Erich – actual. Has truck well now, we did the excavation. Concrete now in north. Issue – what areas do you really need to cap? PCE location is not risk driver. Already capped. Cap & ICs. Or could you get away with just the IC? EPA – probably not. But could put in ROD provision that any part of parcel that is sampled in future and shown to be OK w/o a cap, can be left uncapped. To start, though, call for whole parcel to remain capped minimally. Could give specific risk levels or numbers. =>WAIT->data shows only one area that exceeded risk level. Have ROD specify area to cap being area exceeding CR unrestricted level. PCE area would not. Have lots of data on parcel, and only small area exceeded.
- Magellan – Erich – what if City doesn’t want to repave, and EPA says its now inadequate for capping, worn out, etc. Would the RPs have to pave it? Issue can be further raised in CD context. Role of City, responsibility vs. RPs. City as RP? CD signatory?
- EAPC 16, Donnelly. Subslab sampling. Should hit locations of former facilities. May be more than can do quickly in Phase 1.
- EAPC 20. How many subslab samples? SW corner, where gw concentration highest. What about oil/water separator in NE corner? Should just be PAHs. Do subslab just in SW corner.
- EAPC 6. Propose subslab samples on west side, by former tanks and below. 2 samples adequate.
- EAPC 9. Risk is at 1E-6, doesn’t warrant action based on shallow soil. Clean break between NAPL remedy and shallow soil. Can propose only ICs w/ respect to shallow soil.
- Jan call, change to 1/14, 9a.
- FOLLOW-UPS:
  - Setup webconference for M 12/15 call
  - Agenda/invite for M 12/15 call: rest of ss, napl, draft fs comments.
  - Call Safouh, George re: RRB submittals
  - Change Jan meeting to 1/14 9a, from 1/13 previously scheduled.
  - Check w/ Russell re: Mont off-property data in panhandle area.
  - Change preferred alt for EAPC 9 to ICs only, based on risk being only 1E-6 for both IA and OS.

Thursday, 12/11/08
Call with Erich –
- Continue discussion. George had asked him to call me and talk directly about my thoughts on the last 4 parcels’ shallow soil that we hadn’t gotten to. That way they can move along with their workplan for subslab sampling.
- EAPC 11. Cap, subslab. Propose subslab samples in SE corner, near vapor hits in soil gas. OK. Other facilities (former) were processing things that would be gas at STP; weren’t targeted facilities of interest for VOCs in RI.
- EAPC 17 (34-39). This area has risk=1E-6 (or, per HRA, its less than 1E-6). So, it should be ICs only. Change.
- EAPC 23 (34-57). Coca Cola. Erich proposing 5 subslab samples. NW corner, NE corner by styrene tank, mid-east side near former tank facility (bit down from it), south E corner above former tank facility, one in SW corner. OK. SVE OS. What are we thinking about MW20 area? Other areas not associated with NAPL sources: won’t lock ourselves into the specific areas of FS, would need further delineation.